EXHIBIT 2

Pages 1 - 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William Alsup, Judge

GOOGLE LLC,)
Plaintiff,)

VS. NO. C 20-06754-WHA

SONOS, INC.,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Thursday, January 6, 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF REMOTE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES: (Appearances via AT&T Teleconference.)

For Plaintiff:

QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART

& SULLIVAN LLP

50 California Street - 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111

BY: LINDSAY COOPER, ATTORNEY AT LAW JOCELYN MA, ATTORNEY AT LAW

QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART

& SULLIVAN LLP

865 South Figueroa Street - 10th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: NIMA HEFAZI, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR

Official Reporter, CSR No. 12219

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (CONTINUED) | |
|---------|----------------|-------------|--|
| 2 | For Defendant: | : | |
| 3 | | | ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Orrick Building |
| 4 | | | 405 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105 |
| 5 | | BY: | CLEMENT S. ROBERTS, ATTORNEY AT LAW |
| 6 | | | LEE SULLIVAN SHEA SMITH LLP 656 W Randolph Street - Floor 5W |
| 7 | | BY: | Chicago, Illinois 60661 COLE B.RICHTER, ATTORNEY AT LAW |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

seven months. In March of 2021, Google provided source code to Sonos toes.

THE COURT: You should not -- I lose confidence in what you tell me whenever you say "almost a year" but it turns out to be seven months. Now, 11 months or 10 months might be "almost a year," but seven is barely more than half a year. So it's a small point, but I got to do these things by trusting the lawyers, and if I can't trust you, you see where -- it's hard.

All right. I have a broad suggestion here: With respect to Google Request Number 1 and 2, and probably 3, but give 14 days for Sonos to beef up its contention interrogatories.

That's step 1. And it's got to do a good job.

Number 2, I would then allow two depositions. One would be a deposition of an engineer at Google to explain why there is no local playback queue. That would be done in about two weeks -- three or four weeks, max; it would be done this month.

And then there would be in the same week -- the very same week, don't try to wiggle out of this -- the Sonos engineer or expert would then have to explain under oath why there is a local playback queue.

And then -- then the final from that would be I will give each side a very short time to beef up the contention interrogatory -- not interrogatories, these disclosures. And

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Thursday, January 13, 2021 Kuth home to Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR, CSR No. 12219 Official Reporter, U.S. District Court